



INNOVATIVE: Journal Of Social Science Research

Volume 3 Nomor 6 Tahun 2023 Page 5955-5968

E-ISSN 2807-4238 and P-ISSN 2807-4246

Website: <https://j-innovative.org/index.php/Innovative>

An Analysis Flouting Maxim In Denny Sumargo With Najwa Shihab Podcast "Negeri Ini Banyak Drama Mari Focus Ke Yang Lain"

Devier Portina Br Malau^{1✉}, Nurhayati Sitorus², Febrika Dwi Lestari³

English Education Study Program, Faculty Of Teacher Training And Education Nommensen

HKBP University, Medan, Indonesia

Email : devier.malau@student.uhn.ac.id^{1✉}

Abstrak

Penelitian ini berkaitan dengan analisis Prinsip Kerjasama Grice yang didasari dalam percakapan. Penelitian ini dilakukan dengan menggunakan metode kualitatif. Analisis ini secara khusus membahas pelanggaran maksim dalam tuturan yang diuraikan dalam empat jenis. Yaitu maksim kuantitas, maksim kualitas, maksim hubungan, dan maksim cara. Data penelitian ini adalah ucapan Denny Sumargo dan podcast Najwa Shihab. Temuan menunjukkan bahwa ada 35 ujaran yang melanggar maksim dalam podcast Denny Sumargo dengan Najwa Shihab. Terdapat 15 ujaran (42.86%) yang melanggar maksim kuantitas dan dapat disimpulkan sebagai jenis pelanggaran yang dominan, 9 (25.71%) untuk maksim Cara sebagai pelanggaran terbesar kedua dan 1 (2.86%) untuk maksim kualitas. dan yang terakhir adalah 10 ujaran (28,57%) untuk relasi maksim. Untuk yang kedua, pelanggaran maksim yang dominan adalah kuantitas karena memberikan informasi tambahan sebelum langsung ke pokok persoalan. Mereka tidak memberikan informasi sebanyak yang diperlukan. Hal ini bisa terjadi karena pembicara ingin menyampaikan informasi kepada stoner atau membuat lelucon.

Kata Kunci: *pelanggaran maksim, Denny Sumargo dengan Najwa Shihab*

Abstract

This study is concerned with an analysis of Grice's Cooperative Principle which are constituted in conversation. This research was conducted by using qualitative method. The analysis particularly discusses the flouting of maxim in the utterances, which are described in four types. They are the maxim of quantity, maxim of quality, maxim of relation and maxim of manner. The data of this study

are the utterances between Denny Sumargo with Najwa Shihab podcast. The findings show that there are 35 utterances flouting of maxim in Denny Sumargo with Najwa Shihab podcast. Those are 15 utterances (42,86%) flouting of maxim of quantity and it can be concluded as the dominant type flouting, 9 (25,71%) for maxim of Manner as the second largest number flouted and 1 (2,86%) for the maxim quality and the last those are 10 utterances (28,57%) for the maxim Relation. For the second is the dominant flouting maxim is quantity because they give extra information before go to the poin. They did not give as information as a was needed. It can be happened because the speaker may want to present the stongers information or make a joke.

Keywords: *flouting maxim, Denny Sumargo with Najwa Shihab*

INTRODUCTION

Language is using to communicate between one people and others people. Language is like an idea, emotions, and desires that can be produced some symbols. language is a very important part of our daily life as a tool interaction with others. According to Chaer (2003), language is a verbal tool for communication (Nashruddin & Al-Obaydi, 2021). Chaer stated that language is a sound symbol which is a referee used by a group of community members to interact and identify themselves. There are so many language variations, it's not easy by speaking or writing, but also by gestures and character forms. Language also has the task of conveying what we think and what we want other people All aspects of our interactions with other people are included Language. One function of language is as a means of communication (Tenriuji et al., 2021).

Communication is the sending and receiving of information by two people or a group of people, and can be done face to face or through communication tools. We use spoken or written communication to socialize, to express our feelings, and to get information or the knowledge we need (Xafizovna & Boboqulovna, 2022). Discussion of phenomena can be developed deep inside pragmatic. People carry out conversations and interactions with language. Communication is a form of human interaction that influences one another intentionally and unintentionally (Eshreteh & Draweesh, 2018).

Communication is more than just talking if an utterance is uttered without meaning, we cannot call it communication. Conversation is the best way to communicate. Usually the conversation takes place when there are two of them the main function involved in a dialogue. They are between the speaker and listener shifting position. Conversations in speaking usually occur outside of formal settings, such as the office, court, or school.

Thus a participants must be cooperative to ensure good communication, especially verbal communication. Language is a communication tool in the form of construction of words and sentences conveys something valuable and contains good for the community speakers (Jewad et al., 2020). Language has a function for spoken and written communication. In language, the most important thing is conveying and sharing thoughts and ideas through communication. Because language is related to communication, it requires a speaker and an interlocutor for each part of speech Eka Chandra (2020), also known as semantic dementia. To achieve communicative goals, speakers and interlocutors must work together to understand each other (Erkinovna, 2021).

Creating good and successful communication is not easy and sometimes tiring. During communication, misunderstandings still occur due to several factors. Failure is a reality when a speaker does not apply one or more maxims in his conversation and it confuses his conversation. Violation of maxims is a way to investigate errors in the use of maxims. The deceptive maxims cover the true meaning of utterances and let listeners discover the implicit meaning Lestari (2019), people always communicate with each other. Sometimes people don't understand what they are talking about. To communicate well, one must follow cooperative principles (Hikmahwati et al., 2021).

The principle of cooperation is the assumption that conversation participants usually strive to be informative, jarring, relevant, and clear. Davies (2007), proposes a theory of cooperative principles to avoid misunderstandings and misinterpretations between speakers and listeners. However, some people, for some reason, do not comply with the maxims used in conversation, and when they violate the maxims, it is called flouting the maxims. Hadi (2013) qualifies the offense in conversation as flouting of maxim. flouting maxims occur when maxims are not followed or obeyed in a communication. Grice embraces four maxims: quantity, quality, manner, and relevance (Widyastuti, 2019). When maxims are not followed or obeyed in a communication, then this is called Flouting Maxim or Violating Maxims. This maxim violation does not only occur in everyday conversation, but it also occurs in the Denny Sumargo and Najwa Shihab podcast so this is interesting for researcher from a linguistic point of view, as shown in the example below:

Denny : Saya baru tau lo kalau loe orang Makasar ?

Najwa : Iya, kalau abi rapang, sigrab

Denny : Sulawesi?

Najwa : Orang Sulawesi, kalau dirumah itu setiap hari harus ada ikang, palu palu nara.

Denny : Bisa Bahasa Makasar ?

Najwa : Gak bisa sayangnya ya.

Based on the data above, In the conversation Denny said that he just found out that Najwa was from Makassar, and Najwa answered that he was from Makassar and told him that his father was from Rapang or Sigrab. Every day there must be fish and hammer nara. From the conversation between Denny and Najwa above, it can be concluded that Najwa gave too much information to Denny even though Denny only asked whether Najwa was from Sulawesi or not, but Najwa answered that she was from Sulawesi and explained that at her house there must be ikang and palu nara every day. This excess is called a violation of the maxim of quantity (Obidovna, 2022). from the explanation above, Najwa convinced Denny that he was Sulawesi and there were also some Sulawesi foods that he had to cook at his house every day.

As for previous researchers who have researched about flouting maxim is Gusti Ayu Oka Cahya Dewi (2015) "The Violation of Politeness Maxims by the Characters in the Movie White House Down". The researcher discusses the types of politeness that are violated and the reasons for used politeness maxims. From the findings of this research, the authors found six types of politeness maxims that deviate from each utterance uttered by the characters. The types of politeness maxims referred to include: "Tact Maxim", "Generosity Maxim", "Approbation Maxim", "Modesty Maxim", "Agreement Maxim", and "Sympathy Maxim".

The second is Anisa Fahira (2021) "An Analysis of Flouting Maxim Performed by The Characters in Now You See Me Movie". The researcher discusses the types of flouting maxims used by the characters in Now You See Me movie and What are the meanings of the characters utterances performed in Now You See Me movie. The violation consisted of 6 violations of the maxim of quality, 7 violations of the maxim of quantity, 11 violations of the maxim of relevance, and 5 violations of the maxim of manner. In addition, there are several meanings of speech that violate the maxim of the principle of cooperation.

The third is Hary (2021) "Flouting of Maxim on English Radio Program in Yogyakarta". The researcher discusses types of flouting maxims and what are the reasons those maxims are flouted. Researcher found 10 flouting of maxim data in English radio talk shows in Yogyakarta. There were 40% flouting of the maxim of quantity, 30% of the flouting of the maxim of quality, 20% of the flouting of the maxim of relevance and 10% of the flouting of the maxim of implementation. From previous research the researchers discussed the types of flouting maxims and the reasons flouting maxims, but what distinguished them was the theory used, such as Gusti Ayu Dewi using Leech and Holmes' theories, Anisa Fahira using Halliday's theory and Hary using Cutting's theory, while I will be use the Grice theory (Menon & Ngugi, 2022).

According to Russell & Pratt (1980), who learned about violating the four maxims in the podcast "This country has a lot of drama, let's focus on what is important", ends with a conversation between Denny Sumargo and Najwa Shihab, consciously or unconsciously, he highlighted the violation of what four maxims maxim of quantity, maxim of quality, maxim of relation, and maxim of manner with Grice's theory (Xafizovna, 2022).

This is what got me interested in analyzing the Denny Sumargo with Najwa Shihab podcast because of the author The interest in the maxim of analysis is because not everyone experiences it know about maxims and they often break the rules in their conversation. Even the researcher himself realizes that he also breaks the rules in everyday conversation with other people (Pham, 2022). That's the reason why I'm interested in analyzing Denny Sumargo and Najwa Shihab's podcast. Even though speech violations occur, the flow of speech continues.

METHOD

Research Design

In this study, researcher applied a descriptive qualitative method to analyze data. This method applied because it is meant to be analyze and describe the utterances that are violated 1) maxim of quantity, 2) maxim of quality, 3) maxim of relation, and 4) maxim of manner. in qualitative research is required to understand the meaning that is in a social phenomenon, then a the researcher must abled to act as the actor researched, and must abled to understand the actors he studied in order to reach a level of understanding perfect understanding of the meanings embodied in social phenomena observed Sunan (2018). Hence the main objective of the approach Qualitative is to understand (to understand) phenomena or social symptoms with more emphasis on a complete picture of the phenomenon studied rather than breaking it down into interrelated variables (SUMARDI & NUGRAHANI, 2021).

There are a number of philosophical schools that underlie qualitative research, such as Phenomenology, Symbolic Interactionism, and Ethnomethodology. Must it is acknowledged that these streams have their differences, however Thus there is a common thread that unites them, namely views the same about human nature as a subject that has freedom make choices on the basis of a system of meaning that is entrenched within oneself each perpetrator. In qualitative research, the research 'process' is something that more important than the 'result' obtained.

Therefore researcher as a data collection instrument is one main principle. Only with the involvement of researchers in the data collection process is the result of research could

held accountable. According to Crwsswell.J. (1999), qualitative research is a loosely defined category of research designs or models, all of which elicit verbal, visual, tactile, olfactory, and gustatory data in the form of descriptive narrative.

This research used qualitative research with discourse analysis, because the data comes from conversations conducted by two figures, namely Denny and Najwa. In this study the researcher is the main instrument in collecting the necessary data by applying theory, interpreting data based on general contextual meanings, interpreting data based on the use of flouting maxims and to find out the types of flouting of maxims committed by the two speakers in the podcast.

Data and Data source

According to Murray (2010), the main data sources in qualitative research are words, and actions, the rest are additional data such as documents and others. In this study, researcher will try to analyze the flouting maxim in the conversation between Denny Sumargo and Najwa Shihab. Data is the evidence collected by researcher to answer the research question. The types of data of this research focus on qualitative research data, which forms of words, sentences and spoken, not in number. Based on Creswell (2012), the data taken of this research involves observation report, and documents. The data from this study are in the form of sentences in the form of conversations consisting of types of flouting maxims which are categorized as four types based on Grice's theory. The data source is the source from which the data is taken (Suryani et al., 2018). Researcher used secondary data. This means that the data source is podcast transcripts taken from the internet, the researcher focuses on the types of flouting maxims in the Denny Sumargo and Najwa Shihab podcasts, because in this podcast there are types of flouting maxims and flouting maxims.

Data Collection Techniques

In collecting data, the steps will be use are as follows:

1. Downloading the videos from the internet;
2. Watching the film to find out the whole story and proofread the script video.

Data Analysis Techniques

Data analysis techniques are presented as follows:

1. Identifying the transcript in the conversation;
2. Marking any speech that does not meet the Grice Cooperation Principles in Podcast video between Denny Sumargo and Najwa Shihab;
3. Classifying language using Grice's theory to find types of maxims in the transcript;

4. Finding the dominant flouting maxims are mostly used in the Denny Sumargo and Najwa Sihab podcas.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Data Analysis

In this chapter, researchers want to explain the results of the research findings and analysis. This chapter includes a description of the various kinds of flouting maxim used by Denny Sumargo and Najwa Shihab in their podcast (Dixon et al., 2019). In addition, this study also determines which flouting maxim are most dominant used.

The Types of Flouting Maxim

The data were collected from the Denny Sumargo with Najawa Shihab podcast and limited in the utterances which were done. As it been mentioned before, the research only focused on the flouting maxim uttered in the movie. The writer analyzed about sentence data based on Paul Grice's theory (1975).After collecting the data, the data were analyzed based on Grice's theory named Cooperative Principle and classified by its types flouting (see Appendix) Theoretically, There are four types of maxim. They are Maxim of Quantity, Maxim of Quality ,Maxim of Relation,and Maxim Manner. This study discovered that all types of maxims were flouting. Here are the data of the speaker's utterances which was flouting maxims.

Flouting of maxim of Quantity

The person in this podcast was identified as flouting the maxim of quantity by saying more than saying less than they are needed, or to give extra information before they want probablyto the point. They did not give as informative as it we occurs as needed. It can be happened because the speaker may want to present the stronger information or to make a joke.

In this podcast there are 15 utterances where maxim of quantity was flouted.

Here are some data of the analysis.The data illustrates as the example of flouting of maxim of quantity, the speaker gave extra information than what was needed.

This data were taken from the 01:33

Denny : Saya baru tau lo kalau loe orang Makasar

Najwa :yah, kalau abi rapang,sigrab

In this situation, Najwa answered Denny's statement but too much, making her violate maxim quantity. Denny stated that he just found out that Najwa is a Macassarese,

but Najwa answered that her father is a rapang or shigrab even though Denny had not asked that far.

The research also found the other example flouting of maxim of quantity. In the following example, the speakers were giving too much information than needed. This data was taken from the 24:37 minutes of the movie.

Denny : berarti ada orang yang gak suka sama kau

Najwa : ya nggak mungkin ada orang

disukai semua orang apalagi aku tahu profesiku itu mengharuskan aku membuat menurut aku harus berbicara lantang pada isu-isu yang sensitif aku tahu

In this situation Najwa violated maxim quantity because she explained too much, in her statement she said that everyone must have someone they don't like even though Denny only stated that someone didn't like him. but Najwa answered her statement too long and too much. and from that situation it can be concluded that Najwa violated maxim quantity.

Flouting of maxim of Quality

The speakers might flouting maxim of quality because they said anything to be false and lack adequate evidence or tell a lie which was done mostly consciously to hide the truth, made a joke or setire the interlocutor. It was irony, metaphor, or sarcasm.

In this conversation Najwa has violated the maxim of quality because she lied in answering Denny's question. Najwa gave the answer that she did not know that anyone had ever been offended by her argument, even though there were narratives that had been hacked and hacked. it shows that Najwa has offended people but she did not know the answer to Denny's question. this makes Najwa violate the maxim of quality because Najwa gives answers that do not match the facts (Yuliani, 2018).

Flouting of Maxim of Relation

According to theory of cooperative principle in order to made a good cooperation between speakers and listeners, each should be able to gave a relevant contribute about someting being taken about. The point of this maxim is that it is not sufficient for the a statement to be a true for it to constitute an acceptable conversational contribution. And if someone did make the statement that can be relevantly made or far from the topic discussed, he flouted the maxim of relation.

In this situation Denny violated maxim relation because he answered Najwa's statement in a non-relational way. Najwa said that she was told to be on standby at 06:30 but he stated that someone arranged Najwa's schedule even though it had nothing to do with Nawja's statement.

in the example above, it can be concluded that Denny violates the maxim relation again because there is no continuity of answers with Najwa's statement. in the context of Najwa there is no link to how Denny's story was at school, but when Najwa states that if we ask questions, it will be considered troublesome, Denny tells that he was often beaten in elementary school, in this statement there is no connection.

Flouting of maxim of Manner/Clarity

In this maxim gave obscurity of expression which is not easy to understood, prolixity, not in orderly or the unclear information in doing the flouting of maxim of manner in this podcast. This might happen because the speaker tries to trick the audience or the listener even though for made a joke or not when the character are looked stupid, acting liked jerks or being odd.

In this podcast, there was 9 utterances which maxim of manner was flouting The data were taken from 59:09 minutes of the movie the flouting of maxim of manner by giving the unclear information.

Denny : mbak nana ini kan putri dari seorang tokoh agama banyak sekali yang masih mempersoalkan Kenapa mbak nana itu tidak berhijab katanya kalau aku sih santai ya Coba kalau orang mungkin ya Karena kan orang muslim pastinya dan anak dari tokoh agama gitu pasti pertanyaan itu sering dan banyak.ya sudah pernah dijawab

Najwa : aku beberapa kali aku jawab karena ya pertama gini dan pertanyaan jawabannya bisa panjang bisa pendek tapi karena ini udah mau closing jadi aku jawabnya pendek jadi karna ini mau klosing aku jawabnya pendek aja.

In this situation, Najwa explained something in a long-winded way and was not easy to understand. She did not directly explain in detail, so Najwa had violated the maxim of manner.

The Dominant Flouting Maxim

Table 1. The total number and percentage of types of flouting maxim

No	Flouting Maxim	Number	Percantege
1	Quantity	15	42,86%
2	Quality	1	2,86%
3	Relation	10	28,57%
4	Maner	9	25,71%
Total		35	100

From the table shows that are 35 utterances that flouting maxim. The table show that in Denny Sumargo with Najwa Shihab podcast flouting all types of maxim. The most dominant type of flouting maxim of Quantity about 15 utterances (42,86%) because they

give more information than needed then followed of Manner 9 utterances (25,71%), Quality 1 (2,86%), and Relation 10 utterances (28,57%).

After analyzing the data and determining the categories of maxim flouted in the Denny Sumargo with Najwa shihab podcast script the total members of maxim flouting uttered in the podcast were the flouted maxim of Quantity (15 utterances,42,86%) because all of the speakers give extra information before go to the poin. They did not give as information as a was needed. It can be happened because the speaker may want to present the stongers information or make a joke, then it followed by maxim of Quality(1 utterances, 2,86%), Relation (10 utterances 28,57%), and Manner (9 utterance 25,71%) (Yerdelen et al., 2019).

The maxim violated in the podcast "Denny Sumaro with Najwa Shiab" is based on the four types such as (1) in the quantity maxim the speaker's way of speaking who often says too much information in response to the person. (2) in the maxim of quality maxim speakers try to lie in response to others, (3) in the maxims relation speakers do not understand the case of what is happening from their interlocutor, (4) in maxim maner the speaker is responded to by several people ambiguity of statements that confuse the interlocutor so that the listener or interlocutor does not understand what is being said the interlocutor does not understand what the speaker is talking about (Souzane & Soumia, 2019).

This is a bad relationship with each other, and everyone has differences with others, for example Najwa always responds more to Denny as if Najwa is giving very clear information, and Denny always throws questions to Najwa that are clear and concise. sometimes they have a different different in communication It will be coming to be maxim flouting.

There were some effects of the flouting provide to the situation in the podcast which can be done. In flouting of maxim of quantity by saying more than they need and say less than they need, or give extra information before they go to the point. They did not give as information as it is needed. It happened because the speaker may want to present the strongest information or to make a joke (CHANTIKA, 2023). In flouting of maxim of manner, the irrelevant comment or answer is to represent what is in the speaker's mind. In flouting of maxim of manner, it is to trick the audience or the listeners, even though for making a joke or humor in each type of flouting of maxim or in the next conversation after the flouting itself.

In flouting of maxim of quality. It is to give tle lack the evidence by using the utterance to lying to the other speaker in hiding the truth In the flouting. In flouting of maxim of relation, it is not to give the relevant to the topic of conversation or change the

topic in doing the flouting of maxim of relation in this podcast because they may hide and avoid talking about something or make a fun (Holyfield et al., 2018). In the flouting of maxim of quantity, it can be more or less informative than is required. Giving more information can make clearly to understood and also can be be hard to get the poin. The less in giving information did to make blur the information (Muspawi & Sari, 2020).The context where flouting usually occure is when the speaker to trick the listener by saying prolixity (Clunies - Ross et al., 2008).

Discussion

After analyzed the utterance in Denny Sumargo with Najwa Shihab podcast script in flouting of maxim, it can be found that the four types of maxim were flouted with different amount of number in each types maxim of cooperative principle. All maxim were flouted in Denny Sumargo with Najwa Shihab podcast (Fitria et al., 2022). They are 15 Maxim Flouting of Quantity (42,86%), 1 Maxim flouting Quality (2,86%), 10 Maxim flouting Relation (28,57%), 9 Maxim flouting manner (25,71%). Maxim of Quantity was the doinant in Denny Sumargo with Najwa Shihab podcast (Fahmi & Rachmijati, 2021).

The maxim flouted exists in Denny Sumargo with Najwa Shihab podcast was founded from the four types such as (1) in maxim quantity the way of speaker or the main character, who often said too much information as respons to the person. (2) in the maxim quality the speaker tried to tell a lie in the respons to the others, (3) in the maxim relation the speaker didn't understand what happening cases from the interlocutor, (4) in the maxim manner the main character responed by some ambiguities statemnt that confusing the interlocutor so the hearer or the interlocutors did not understand what the speaker said (Zulaikah et al., 2018).

CONCLUSION

Based on the analysis of the utterance in Denny Sumargo with Najwa Shihab podcast script in the previous chapter, it can be concluted that there were four types of maxim which were flouted in Denny Sumargo with Najwa Shihab podcast that is flouting maxim of quantity, quality, relation, and manner in responding the question or statement given by the other.

The context of the maxim occur is when the speaker give obscurity information to trick the listener. From the analysis, it could be concluded that event though Grice (1975) proposes the Cooperative Principle in communication, in some situations, people disobeyed them deliberately. When people flouted the maxims, they seemed to have their own reasons for doing it. The writer found out that in Denny Sumargo with Najwa Sihab podcast used the flouting of maxims as strategy to to tell with complete. The complete reasons said

it so that who give question will get with complete , and who aswered accept extra information with complete. The flouting of maxims happened because the character wanted not just fine about a little information, they speaker wanted give much information so that clear.

REFERENCES

- Chantika, F. (2023). *The Effect Of Using Videos On Students'writing Skill In Recount Text At The Second Year Of Junior High School 2 Pinggir*. Universitas Islam Negeri Sultan Syarif Kasim Riau. [Http://Repository.Uin-Suska.Ac.Id/Id/Eprint/68616](http://Repository.Uin-Suska.Ac.Id/Id/Eprint/68616)
- Dixon, R. A., Hall, C., & Shawon, F. (2019). Using Virtual Reality And Web Conferencing Technologies: Exploring Alternatives For Microteaching In A Rural Region. *Northwest Journal Of Teacher Education*, 14(1), 4. 10.15760/Nwjte.2019.14.1.4
- Erkinovna, Y. F. (2021). Politeness Markers In Spokenlanguage. *Euro-Asia Conferences*, 37–40.
- Eshreteh, M., & Draweesh, Y. (2018). *Applying Brown And Levinson's Politeness Theory On Lady Macbeth's Speech In Shakespeare's Macbeth*. [Http://Dspace.Hebron.Edu/Xmlui/Handle/123456789/97](http://Dspace.Hebron.Edu/Xmlui/Handle/123456789/97)
- Fahmi, S., & Rachmijati, C. (2021). Improving Students' Writing Skill Using Grammaly Application For Second Grade In Senior High School. *Project (Professional Journal Of English Education)*, 4(1), 69.
- Fitria, R. A., Sabarun, S., & Miftah, M. Z. (2022). Students'perception Of The Use Of Grammarly In Undergraduate Thesis Writing. *Project (Professional Journal Of English Education)*, 5(2), 366–371.
- Hikmahwati, E. N., Utomo, D. W., & Evenddy, S. S. (2021). The Investigation Of Politeness Principles And Strategies Used In Akeelah And The Bee Movie. *Wanastra: Jurnal Bahasa Dan Sastra*, 13(1), 1–7.
- Holyfield, C., Light, J., Drager, K., Mcnaughton, D., & Gormley, J. (2018). Effect Of Aac Partner Training Using Video On Peers' Interpretation Of The Behaviors Of Presymbolic Middle-Schoolers With Multiple Disabilities*. *Augmentative And Alternative Communication*, 34(4), 301–310. [Https://Doi.Org/10.1080/07434618.2018.1508306](https://Doi.Org/10.1080/07434618.2018.1508306)
- Jewad, H. G., Ghapanchi, Z., & Ghazanfari, M. (2020). Investigating Leech's Politeness Principle In Conversational Verses In Three Surahs From The Holy Quran. *Asian Social Science*, 16(3), 1–29.
- Menon, D., & Ngugi, R. (2022). Preservice Secondary Stem Teachers' Reflective Practice In Microteaching: An Analysis Of Journal Writing And Video-Mediated Reflections.

Teacher Education Quarterly, 49(1), 29–52.

- Nashruddin, N., & Al-Obaydi, L. H. (2021). Linguistics Politeness In Reinforcing Character During Learning Activities. *Ethical Lingua: Journal Of Language Teaching And Literature*, 8(1), 210–217.
- Obidovna, D. Z. (2022). The Main Concepts Of Politeness In Modern Linguopragmatics: The Politeness Principle By J. Leech. *International Journal Of Pedagogics*, 2(11), 15–20.
- Pham, N. K. T. (2022). Vietnamese Students' Perspectives On Online Micro-Teaching (Omt) As A Technique In English Teacher Education In The 4.0 Era. *Asiacall Online Journal*, 13(1), 40–72. <https://www.asiacall.info/acoj/index.php/journal/article/view/90>
- Souzane, B., & Soumia, L. (2019). *Enhancing Efl Students' Motivation To Speak Through Short Silent Animated Films*. جامعة جيجل. <http://dspace.univ-jijel.dz:8080/xmlui/handle/123456789/7086>
- Sumardi, S., & Nugrahani, D. (2021). Adaptation To Emergency Remote Teaching: Pedagogical Strategy For Pre-Service Language Teachers Amid Covid-19 Pandemic. *Turkish Online Journal Of Distance Education*, 22(2), 81–93. <https://doi.org/10.17718/tojde.906553>
- Suryani, F. B., Rukmini, D., Bharati, D. A. L., & Hartono, R. (2018). Promoting Efl Student Teachers' Life-Long Learning Through Microteaching Lesson Study. *Celt: A Journal Of Culture, English Language Teaching & Literature*, 18(2), 267. <https://doi.org/10.24167/celt.v18i2.1212>
- Tenriuji, A., Maca, S., & Abeng, A. T. (2021). The Element Of Politeness In Novel The Perks Of Being A Wallflower. *Humaniora: Journal Of Linguistics, Literature, And Education*, 1(1), 43–51. <https://doi.org/https://journal.unibos.ac.id/jlle/article/view/1137>
- Widyastuti, W. (2019). The Analysis Of Politeness Strategy Used By The Main Character Of Novel "The Sun Also Rises." *Journal Of Pragmatics Research*, 1(2), 118–138. <https://doi.org/10.18326/jopr.v1i2.118-138>
- Xafizovna, R. N. (2022). Discourse Analysis Of Politeness Strategies In Literary Work: Speech Acts And Politeness Strategies. *Spanish Journal Of Innovation And Integrity*, 5, 123–133.
- Xafizovna, R. N., & Boboqulovna, X. M. (2022). Politeness In Literary Works: An Overview. *Eurasian Research Bulletin*, 7, 200–206. <https://doi.org/https://www.geniusjournals.org/index.php/erb/article/view/1300>
- Yerdelen, S., Osmanoglu, A., & Tas, Y. (2019). The Influence Of A Teaching Practice Course With Video-Case Enriched Microteaching On Prospective Teachers' Self-Efficacy For Teaching. *International Journal Of Research In Education And Science*, 5(2), 560–573.

[Http://Www.ljres.Net](http://www.ljres.net)

Yuliani, S. (2018). Classroom Application Of Micro Teaching : An Analysis Of Students' Perspectives. *J-Shmic: Journal Of English For Academic*, 5(1), 81–97. [Https://Doi.Org/10.25299/Jshmic.2018.Vol5\(1\).1112](https://doi.org/10.25299/jshmic.2018.vol5(1).1112)

Zulaikah, Z., Agustina, E., & Muklas, M. (2018). An Analysis Student's Ability In Writing Descriptive Text Of Second Semester Of English Educational Program At Stkip Nurul Huda Oku Timur. *Jurnal Darussalam: Jurnal Pendidikan, Komunikasi Dan Pemikiran Hukum Islam*, 10(1), 12. [Https://Doi.Org/10.30739/Darussalam.V10i1.264](https://doi.org/10.30739/darussalam.v10i1.264)