



INNOVATIVE: Journal Of Social Science Research

Volume 5 Nomor 2 Tahun 2025 Page 78-92

E-ISSN 2807-4238 and P-ISSN 2807-4246

Website: <https://j-innovative.org/index.php/Innovative>

An Analysis on The Students' Ability in Comprehending Report Text in Reading at SMA Negeri 1 Siborongborong

Rekolina Siahaan^{1✉}, Titin Elfrida Situmorang², Mariati Saragih³

Universitas Sisingamangaraja XII Tapanuli (UNITA)

Email: siahaanrekolina85@gmail.com^{1✉}

Abstract

Talking about the analysis of students' ability to understand the report text in the eleventh grade of SMA Negeri 1 SIBORONGBORONG, where the research problem is "How is the student's ability to understand the report text at the reading level at SMA Negeri 1 Siborongborong?". The subjects of this study were SMA Negeri 1 Siborongborong grade XI students, there were 8 classes consisting of 288 students and the author took 72 students as samples. From the data analysis, it was obtained that the students' abilities were at a moderate level, where students who obtained scores of more than 85.8 were only 13 students, between 69.41 - 85.8 were 41 students and students with low abilities or obtained scores less than 69.41 were 18 students. From these findings, the author concludes that, grade XI students do not have high abilities, and some of them cannot understand the report text well. As a result, the ability of grade XI students of SMA Negeri 1 Siborongborong is at a moderate level.

Keywords: *Reading Comprehension, Analysis, Report Text*

Abstrak

Berbicara tentang analisis kemampuan siswa dalam memahami teks laporan di kelas sebelas SMA NEGERI 1 SIBORONGBORONG, dimana masalah penelitiannya adalah "Bagaimana kemampuan siswa dalam memahami teks laporan pada tingkat membaca di SMA Negeri 1 Siborongborong?" Subyek penelitian ini adalah siswa SMA Negeri 1 Siborongborong kelas XI, terdapat 8 kelas yang terdiri dari 288 siswa dan penulis mengambil 72 siswa sebagai sampel. Dari analisis data diperoleh kemampuan siswa yang berada pada tingkat sedang, dimana siswa yang memperoleh nilai lebih dari 85,8 hanya berjumlah 13 siswa, antara 69,41 – 85,8 berjumlah 41 siswa dan siswa yang berkemampuan rendah atau memperoleh nilai kurang dari 69,41 berjumlah 18 siswa. Dari temuan tersebut, penulis menyimpulkan bahwa, siswa kelas XI tidak memiliki kemampuan yang tinggi, dan beberapa dari mereka tidak dapat memahami teks laporan dengan baik. Hasilnya kemampuan siswa kelas XI SMA Negeri 1 Siborongborong berada pada tingkat sedang.

Kata Kunci: *Pemahaman Membaca, Analisis, Teks Report*

INTRODUCTION

Language is a means of communication. It means that, language is very important as a tool of communication that can be used by people to communicate between two or more people.

According to Lado (1979:7) "Language is the chief means by which human personality expresses itself and fulfils its basic need for social interaction with other person." It means in doing communication, people can express their feelings, thoughts, and minds to other people.

A study (something) closely and carefully: to learn the nature and relationship of the parts of (something) by a close and careful examination (www.merriam-webster.com).

McNamara (2007:3) "Reading is an extraordinary achievement when one considers the number of levels and components that must be mastered. "So reading means understanding the meaning of printed words. Reading is an active process which consists of recognition and comprehension skill. By reading someone can find information and comprehending meaning of the text. Lado (1974:137) describes "Reading is grasping the language pattern form their written representation quickly without analysis of what symbol represent what sound and this skill that comes through habit, and reading is introduced the written representation of pattern.

Basically, the main goal of reading is comprehension of what is being read. The comprehension is an interactive process. This statement is supported by McNamara (2007;111) she said that "Reading comprehension is a product to complex interaction

between the properties of the text and what readers bring to the reading situation. From the definition above, we can conclude that reading comprehension is a process that involves meaningful construction of an author's message by the use of prior knowledge, especially the knowledge of language. In the other words reading comprehension is a process of negotiating, understanding between the reader and the writer. Furthermore, the readers who have good reading comprehension can grasp the meaning and the organization of the writer's idea. The readers bring their previous knowledge and experience into relation with their present reading.

Report text is a text containing two components. The first is the general classification of the object being described. The technique which is commonly used is a definition. This way commonly introduces the class of the object. It can also be about the species, the origin, the type, the function, the age, the size, the color, etc of the object. The second component is the description of the object. The common techniques used to describe the object accords with the orientation given in the general classification. The description is to tell a phenomenon under the argument.

METHODOLOGY

Methodology is a specific set of procedure of researching. In this case methodology of research discusses about method and procedure that answered the problem of the research. It explains the design of research object or instrument, which included subject and data analysis.

The subject of this Research were the grade eleven students of SMA Negeri 1 Siborongborong. They are 288 students. Based on Arikunto (2002: 108), if the Subject more than 100, the researcher may take 10% - 150% or 20% - 25% as the sample, if the Subject less than 100, the researcher may take all the sample. So researcher took 25 % that consist of 72 students.

The object of this research is the comprehending of the Report Text at grade XI in SMA Negeri 1 Siborongborong. The instrument of collecting data designed in order to see the result of the study. If we talk about the data, we also talk about the instrument used. In doing a research, instrument is so important to collect the data. It is an objective test exactly multiple choice tests. Which consists in 40 items from 4 passages.

In process the data, the writer directed the following steps:

- a. Administrating the test
- b. Collecting the test after the students finished the test

c. Identifying the students answer

After the writer gets all the students' score from the test, the writer found out the mean, and standard deviation. After that the writer found out the students' ability in comprehending report text. The scores are described by looking at its mean(M), and standard deviation (SD). Mean is sum of separate score. The standard deviation is the suitable index variability and custom orally employed in statistical report of the test performance (Harris 1969:122).

The scoring in this study is to know the students' abilities in comprehending report text. Every correct answer is given score 2.5 and the wrong answer is 0. To see how many items that the students got for the correct answer, it will be counted with this formulas below:

1. The average Right score:

$$\frac{\textit{Total Proportion of the right answer}}{\textit{total number of sample}}$$

2. To get the percentage of the average right score we can use this formula:

$$\frac{\textit{Total Proporsion of the Right Answer}}{\textit{Total Number of Items}} \times 100\%$$

3. The average Mistake Scores:

$$\frac{\textit{Total Prortion of the Wrong Answer}}{\textit{Total Number of Sample}}$$

4. Average Mistake Percentage:

$$\frac{\textit{Total Proportion of Wrong Answer}}{\textit{Total number of items}} \times 100\%$$

DATA ANALYSIS AND RESEARCH FINDINGS

This chapter discussed about the result of the research which consists of data analysis, the level of the students' ability, finding and interpretation. The writer has given tests as the instrument to the eleventh year students in SMA Negeri 1 Siborongborong to measure or to know the students' ability in comprehending report text level.

The data was obtained from tests in SMA Negeri 1 Siborongborong at grade eleven. The result of the test was used as data. There were some steps to the following in order to get the data, namely: administrating the test to the students, checking the test answers

done by the students and collecting the result as the data to be analyzed.

The score of the students are describes in this table:

Table 1: The Value of the Students

| No | Subject | Score |
|----|--------------------------|-------|
| 1 | AYU DEVITA MANALU | 92,5 |
| 2 | BOI ANANDA SIAGIAN | 90 |
| 3 | DEVI LUSIANA HUTASOIT | 75 |
| 4 | HARTIEN TAMPUBOLON | 85 |
| 5 | HENDRA A.M. SIMBOLON | 82,5 |
| 6 | IRAYANI ZAGOTA | 70 |
| 7 | IYEN YUSTATIA SOLIN | 82,5 |
| 8 | JELAWATI LAIA | 60 |
| 9 | JOEL ASTRADA PASARIBU | 85 |
| 10 | JOKO SONRIO SIMANJUNTAK | 75 |
| 11 | JOSUA A.W SIHOMBING | 82,5 |
| 12 | JULI SARTIKA SIREGAR | 60 |
| 13 | KRISNA RAJAGUGUK | 62,5 |
| 14 | LASTRI SIAHAAN | 70 |
| 15 | LENON B. LUMBANTORUAN | 57,5 |
| 16 | LESTARI SIBAGARIANG | 55 |
| 17 | LIDIA KANDO SIBURIAN | 77,5 |
| 18 | MARGARETHA SIHOMBING | 75 |
| 19 | MARIA MAGDALENA SILABAN | 95 |
| 20 | MARINI A. TAMPUBOLON | 75 |
| 21 | MASRO B. RAJAGUKGUK | 70 |
| 22 | MEI E NABABAN | 62,5 |
| 23 | MELKI D. LUMBANTORUAN | 82,5 |
| 24 | MORADITA A. SIMANJUNTAK | 75 |
| 25 | NONNA ESRA SIGALINGGING | 52,5 |
| 26 | NOVAVIV I SIMANJUNTAK | 70 |
| 27 | PERNANDO ANJU RAJAGUGUK | 95 |
| 28 | PUTRA JOSHUA SIHOMBING | 90 |
| 29 | RIDHO H LUMBANTORUAN | 55 |
| 30 | RINA MELATI SIGALINGGING | 70 |
| 31 | RIRIS MANDALENA SIANIPAR | 72,5 |

| | | |
|----|---------------------------|------|
| 32 | ROSMAWARNI SIMANJUNTAK | 80 |
| 33 | SRI PASKA REJEKI HUTASOIT | 72,5 |
| 34 | TIAMSA P. LUMBANTORUAN | 90 |
| 35 | TRISNAWATI J. TAMPUBOLON | 95 |
| 36 | UMI HASANAH | 82,5 |
| 37 | VERY DANIEL NABABAN | 82,5 |
| 38 | YOSUA PARDEDE | 82,5 |
| 39 | ALBAIK NABABAN | 52,5 |
| 40 | ASWITA NABABAN | 87,5 |
| 41 | DEDDI K S HUTASOIT | 65 |
| 42 | DERMANCY HUTASOIT | 57,5 |
| 43 | EKA S. SILITONGA | 65 |
| 44 | ENI W. LUMBANTORUAN | 77,5 |
| 45 | ERWIN R. LUMBANTORUAN | 80 |
| 46 | ESKARIA M SIHOMBING | 65 |
| 47 | FITRI MONIKA SIBURIAN | 85 |
| 48 | HERLINA LUMBANTORUAN | 92,5 |
| 49 | HORAS K PASARIBU | 85 |
| 50 | HOTBEN SILABAN | 92,5 |
| 51 | INES PASARIBU | 80 |
| 52 | JERIKO NABABAN | 57,5 |
| 53 | JESSICA Y SITORUS | 72,5 |
| 54 | JHON KELVIN SIANTURI | 87,5 |
| 55 | KARUNIA SIMANUNGKALIT | 90 |
| 56 | LALO K PANJAITAN | 80 |
| 57 | LESTARI SIREGAR | 85 |
| 58 | LIDIA RAJAGUKGUK | 72,5 |
| 59 | LISBET SIMAREMARE | 72,5 |
| 60 | MANOGAR SIANTURI | 82,5 |
| 61 | MARIANA SIHOMBING | 65 |
| 62 | MARUPA SITANGGANG | 80 |
| 63 | MASRANAI C A NABABAN | 80 |
| 64 | MEI R C LUMBANTORUAN | 65 |
| 65 | MERYNOVA SIMANJUNTAK | 72,5 |
| 66 | NANI E SIGALINGGING | 67,5 |
| 67 | RADOT KRISTIAN SILALAH | 80 |

| | | |
|----|-------------------------|------|
| 68 | RAJA NIKSON HUTASOIT | 87,5 |
| 69 | RAMOT SIMANJUNTAK | 85 |
| 70 | RUPINA HUTASOIT | 67,5 |
| 71 | TOBER SIMANJUNTAK | 82,5 |
| 72 | YUNI YOLANDA HUTAGALUNG | 77,5 |

Table 2: The Value of the Students from the Highest to the Lowest

| No | Subject | Score |
|----|--------------------------|-------|
| 1 | MARIA MAGDALENA SILABAN | 95 |
| 2 | PERNANDO ANJU RAJAGUGUK | 95 |
| 3 | TRISNAWATI J. TAMPUBOLON | 95 |
| 4 | AYU DEVITA MANALU | 92,5 |
| 5 | HERLINA LUMBANTORUAN | 92,5 |
| 6 | HOTBEN SILABAN | 92,5 |
| 7 | BOI ANANDA SIAGIAN | 90 |
| 8 | KARUNIA SIMANUNGKALIT | 90 |
| 9 | PUTRA JOSHUA SIHOMBING | 90 |
| 10 | TIAMSA P. LUMBANTORUAN | 90 |
| 11 | ASWITA NABABAN | 87,5 |
| 12 | JHON KELVIN SIANTURI | 87,5 |
| 13 | RAJA NIKSON HUTASOIT | 87,5 |
| 14 | FITRI MONIKA SIBURIAN | 85 |
| 15 | HARTIEN TAMPUBOLON | 85 |
| 16 | HORAS K PASARIBU | 85 |
| 17 | JOEL ASTRADA PASARIBU | 85 |
| 18 | LESTARI SIREGAR | 85 |
| 19 | RAMOT SIMANJUNTAK | 85 |
| 20 | HENDRA A.M. SIMBOLON | 82,5 |
| 21 | IYEN YUSTATIA SOLIN | 82,5 |
| 22 | JOSUA A.W SIHOMBING | 82,5 |
| 23 | MANOGAR SIANTURI | 82,5 |
| 24 | MELKI D. LUMBANTORUAN | 82,5 |
| 25 | UMI HASANAH | 82,5 |
| 26 | VERY DANIEL NABABAN | 82,5 |
| 27 | YOSUA PARDEDE | 82,5 |
| 28 | TOBER SIMANJUNTAK | 82,5 |

| | | |
|----|---------------------------|------|
| 29 | ERWIN R. LUMBANTORUAN | 80 |
| 30 | INES PASARIBU | 80 |
| 31 | LALO K PANJAITAN | 80 |
| 32 | MARUPA SITANGGANG | 80 |
| 33 | MASRANAI C A NABABAN | 80 |
| 34 | RADOT KRISTIAN SILALAH | 80 |
| 35 | ROSMAWARNI SIMANJUNTAK | 80 |
| 36 | ENI W. LUMBANTORUAN | 77,5 |
| 37 | LIDIA KANDO SIBURIAN | 77,5 |
| 38 | YUNI YOLANDA HUTAGALUNG | 77,5 |
| 39 | DEVI LUSIANA HUTASOIT | 75 |
| 40 | JOKO SONRIO SIMANJUNTAK | 75 |
| 41 | MARGARETHA SIHOMBING | 75 |
| 42 | MARINI A. TAMPUBOLON | 75 |
| 43 | MORADITA A. SIMANJUNTAK | 75 |
| 44 | LIDIA RAJAGUKGUK | 72,5 |
| 45 | LISBET SIMAREMARE | 72,5 |
| 46 | MERYNOVA SIMANJUNTAK | 72,5 |
| 47 | RIRIS MANDALENA SIANIPAR | 72,5 |
| 48 | SRI PASKA REJEKI HUTASOIT | 72,5 |
| 49 | JESSICA Y SITORUS | 72,5 |
| 50 | IRAYANI ZAGOTA | 70 |
| 51 | LASTRI SIAHAAN | 70 |
| 52 | MASRO B. RAJAGUKGUK | 70 |
| 53 | NOVAVIV I SIMANJUNTAK | 70 |
| 54 | RINA MELATI SIGALINGGING | 70 |
| 55 | NANI E SIGALINGGING | 67,5 |
| 56 | RUPINA HUTASOIT | 67,5 |
| 57 | DEDDI K S HUTASOIT | 65 |
| 58 | EKA S. SILITONGA | 65 |
| 59 | ESKARIA M SIHOMBING | 65 |
| 60 | MARIANA SIHOMBING | 65 |
| 61 | MEI R C LUMBANTORUAN | 65 |
| 62 | KRISNA RAJAGUGUK | 62,5 |
| 63 | MEI E NABABAN | 62,5 |
| 64 | JELAWATI LAIA | 60 |

| | | |
|----|-------------------------|------|
| 65 | JULI SARTIKA SIREGAR | 60 |
| 66 | DERMANCY HUTASOIT | 57,5 |
| 67 | JERIKO NABABAN | 57,5 |
| 68 | LENON B. LUMBANTORUAN | 57,5 |
| 69 | LESTARI SIBAGARIANG | 55 |
| 70 | RIDHO H LUMBANTORUAN | 55 |
| 71 | ALBAIK NABABAN | 52,5 |
| 72 | NONNA ESRA SIGALINGGING | 52,5 |

Table 3: The Score of Reading Comprehension Test

| No | Subject | True | False | Score | | Total Test |
|----|-------------------------|------|-------|-------|-------|------------|
| | | | | True | False | |
| 1 | AYU DEVITA MANALU | 37 | 3 | 92,5 | 7,5 | 40 |
| 2 | BOI ANANDA SIAGIAN | 36 | 4 | 90 | 10 | 40 |
| 3 | DEVI LUSIANA HUTASOIT | 30 | 10 | 75 | 25 | 40 |
| 4 | HARTIEN TAMPUBOLON | 34 | 6 | 85 | 15 | 40 |
| 5 | HENDRA A.M. SIMBOLON | 33 | 7 | 82,5 | 17,5 | 40 |
| 6 | IRAYANI ZAGOTA | 28 | 12 | 70 | 30 | 40 |
| 7 | IYEN YUSTATIA SOLIN | 33 | 7 | 82,5 | 17,5 | 40 |
| 8 | JELAWATI LAIA | 24 | 16 | 60 | 40 | 40 |
| 9 | JOEL ASTRADA PASARIBU | 34 | 6 | 85 | 15 | 40 |
| 10 | JOKO SONRIO SIMANJUNTAK | 30 | 10 | 75 | 25 | 40 |
| 11 | JOSUA A.W SIHOMBING | 33 | 7 | 82,5 | 17,5 | 40 |
| 12 | JULI SARTIKA SIREGAR | 24 | 16 | 60 | 40 | 40 |
| 13 | KRISNA RAJAGUGUK | 25 | 15 | 62,5 | 37,5 | 40 |
| 14 | LASTRI SIAHAAN | 28 | 12 | 70 | 30 | 40 |
| 15 | LENON B. LUMBANTORUAN | 23 | 17 | 57,5 | 42,5 | 40 |
| 16 | LESTARI SIBAGARIANG | 22 | 18 | 55 | 45 | 40 |
| 17 | LIDIA KANDO SIBURIAN | 31 | 9 | 77,5 | 22,5 | 40 |
| 18 | MARGARETHA SIHOMBING | 30 | 10 | 75 | 25 | 40 |
| 19 | MARIA MAGDALENA SILABAN | 38 | 2 | 95 | 5 | 40 |
| 20 | MARINI A. TAMPUBOLON | 30 | 10 | 75 | 25 | 40 |
| 21 | MASRO B. RAJAGUKGUK | 28 | 12 | 70 | 30 | 40 |
| 22 | MEI E NABABAN | 25 | 15 | 62,5 | 37,5 | 40 |
| 23 | MELKI D. LUMBANTORUAN | 33 | 7 | 82,5 | 17,5 | 40 |
| 24 | MORADITA A. SIMANJUNTAK | 30 | 10 | 75 | 25 | 40 |

| | | | | | | |
|----|---------------------------|----|----|------|------|----|
| 25 | NONNA ESRA SIGALINGGING | 21 | 19 | 52,5 | 47,5 | 40 |
| 26 | NOVAVIV I SIMANJUNTAK | 28 | 12 | 70 | 30 | 40 |
| 27 | PERNANDO ANJU RAJAGUGUK | 38 | 2 | 95 | 5 | 40 |
| 28 | PUTRA JOSHUA SIHOMBING | 36 | 4 | 90 | 10 | 40 |
| 29 | RIDHO H LUMBANTORUAN | 22 | 18 | 55 | 45 | 40 |
| 30 | RINA MELATI SIGALINGGING | 28 | 12 | 70 | 30 | 40 |
| 31 | RIRIS MANDALENA SIANIPAR | 29 | 11 | 72,5 | 27,5 | 40 |
| 32 | ROSMAWARNI SIMANJUNTAK | 32 | 8 | 80 | 20 | 40 |
| 33 | SRI PASKA REJEKI HUTASOIT | 29 | 11 | 72,5 | 27,5 | 40 |
| 34 | TIAMSA P. LUMBANTORUAN | 36 | 4 | 90 | 10 | 40 |
| 35 | TRISNAWATI J. TAMPUBOLON | 38 | 2 | 95 | 5 | 40 |
| 36 | UMI HASANAH | 33 | 7 | 82,5 | 17,5 | 40 |
| 37 | VERY DANIEL NABABAN | 33 | 7 | 82,5 | 17,5 | 40 |
| 38 | YOSUA PARDEDE | 33 | 7 | 82,5 | 17,5 | 40 |
| 39 | ALBAIK NABABAN | 21 | 19 | 52,5 | 47,5 | 40 |
| 40 | ASWITA NABABAN | 35 | 5 | 87,5 | 12,5 | 40 |
| 41 | DEDDI K S HUTASOIT | 26 | 14 | 65 | 35 | 40 |
| 42 | DERMANCY HUTASOIT | 23 | 17 | 57,5 | 42,5 | 40 |
| 43 | EKA S. SILITONGA | 26 | 14 | 65 | 35 | 40 |
| 44 | ENI W. LUMBANTORUAN | 31 | 9 | 77,5 | 22,5 | 40 |
| 45 | ERWIN R. LUMBANTORUAN | 32 | 8 | 80 | 20 | 40 |
| 46 | ESKARIA M SIHOMBING | 26 | 14 | 65 | 35 | 40 |
| 47 | FITRI MONIKA SIBURIAN | 36 | 4 | 85 | 15 | 40 |
| 48 | HERLINA LUMBANTORUAN | 37 | 3 | 92,5 | 7,5 | 40 |
| 49 | HORAS K PASARIBU | 34 | 6 | 85 | 15 | 40 |
| 50 | HOTBEN SILABAN | 37 | 3 | 92,5 | 7,5 | 40 |
| 51 | INES PASARIBU | 32 | 8 | 80 | 20 | 40 |
| 52 | JERIKO NABABAN | 23 | 17 | 57,5 | 42,5 | 40 |
| 53 | JESSICA Y SITORUS | 29 | 11 | 72,5 | 27,5 | 40 |
| 54 | JHON KELVIN SIANTURI | 35 | 5 | 87,5 | 12,5 | 40 |
| 55 | KARUNIA SIMANUNGKALIT | 36 | 4 | 90 | 10 | 40 |
| 56 | LALO K PANJAITAN | 32 | 8 | 80 | 20 | 40 |
| 57 | LESTARI SIREGAR | 34 | 6 | 85 | 15 | 40 |
| 58 | LIDIA RAJAGUKGUK | 29 | 11 | 72,5 | 27,5 | 40 |
| 59 | LISBET SIMAREMARE | 29 | 11 | 72,5 | 27,5 | 40 |
| 60 | MANOGAR SIANTURI | 33 | 7 | 82,5 | 17,5 | 40 |

| | | | | | | |
|-------|-------------------------|------|-----|--------|--------|------|
| 61 | MARIANA SIHOMBING | 26 | 14 | 65 | 35 | 40 |
| 62 | MARUPA SITANGGANG | 32 | 8 | 80 | 20 | 40 |
| 63 | MASRANAI C A NABABAN | 32 | 8 | 80 | 20 | 40 |
| 64 | MEI R C LUMBANTORUAN | 26 | 14 | 65 | 35 | 40 |
| 65 | MERYNOVA SIMANJUNTAK | 29 | 11 | 72,5 | 27,5 | 40 |
| 66 | NANI E SIGALINGGING | 27 | 13 | 67,5 | 32,5 | 40 |
| 67 | RADOT KRISTIAN SILALAH | 32 | 8 | 80 | 20 | 40 |
| 68 | RAJA NIKSON HUTASOIT | 35 | 5 | 87,5 | 12,5 | 40 |
| 69 | RAMOT SIMANJUNTAK | 34 | 6 | 85 | 15 | 40 |
| 70 | RUPINA HUTASOIT | 27 | 13 | 67,5 | 32,5 | 40 |
| 71 | TOBER SIMANJUNTAK | 33 | 7 | 82,5 | 17,5 | 40 |
| 72 | YUNI YOLANDA HUTAGALUNG | 31 | 9 | 77,5 | 22,5 | 40 |
| TOTAL | | 2195 | 685 | 5482,5 | 1717,5 | 2880 |

From the data above, the writer gets the average of the right answer and the wrong answer for the sake of knowing the students' average level in doing the test.

1. The average Right Score:

$$\frac{\text{Total proportion of the right answer}}{\text{Total number of sample}} = \frac{2195}{72} = 30,4$$

It means that from 40 questions, students could answer 30 questions correctly.

2. Percentage of the average Right score we can use this formula:

$$\frac{\text{Total proportion of the right answers}}{\text{total number of sample}} \times 100 \% = \frac{2195}{2880} \times 100 \% = 76,2\%$$

It means that from 40 questions the percentage of the right score is 76%

3. The Average Mistake Scores

$$\frac{\text{Total proportion of the wrong answer}}{\text{Total number of sample}} = \frac{685}{72} = 9,51$$

It means that from 40 questions the students got 10 wrong answers

4. The Average Mistake Percentage

$$\frac{\text{Total proportion of the wrong answers}}{\text{Total number of items}} \times 100\% = \frac{685}{2880} \times 100\% = 23,7\%$$

It means that from 40 questions, the percentage of the average mistake of the students is 24%.

Level of the Students' Ability in Comprehending Report Text

To find out the ability of the students, the writer found the mean and the standard deviation of the variable first. Mean is sum of the separate scores divided by their number and the standard deviation is the most stable index variability and it's customarily is statistical report of the test performance (Harris, 1969:122).

Table 4: The Level of the Students' Ability

| No | Subject | Score | |
|----|-------------------------|-------|----------------|
| | | X | X ² |
| 1 | AYU DEVITA MANALU | 92,5 | 8556,25 |
| 2 | BOI ANANDA SIAGIAN | 90 | 8100 |
| 3 | DEVI LUSIANA HUTASOIT | 75 | 5625 |
| 4 | HARTIEN TAMPUBOLON | 85 | 7225 |
| 5 | HENDRA A.M. SIMBOLON | 82,5 | 6806,25 |
| 6 | IRAYANI ZAGOTA | 70 | 4900 |
| 7 | IYEN YUSTATIA SOLIN | 82,5 | 6806,25 |
| 8 | JELAWATI LAIA | 60 | 3600 |
| 9 | JOEL ASTRADA PASARIBU | 85 | 7225 |
| 10 | JOKO SONRIO SIMANJUNTAK | 75 | 5625 |
| 11 | JOSUA A.W SIHOMBING | 82,5 | 6806,25 |
| 12 | JULI SARTIKA SIREGAR | 60 | 3600 |
| 13 | KRISNA RAJAGUGUK | 62,5 | 3906,25 |
| 14 | LASTRI SIAHAAN | 70 | 4900 |
| 15 | LENON B. LUMBANTORUAN | 57,5 | 3306,25 |
| 16 | LESTARI SIBAGARIANG | 55 | 3025 |
| 17 | LIDIA KANDO SIBURIAN | 77,5 | 6006,25 |
| 18 | MARGARETHA SIHOMBING | 75 | 5625 |
| 19 | MARIA MAGDALENA SILABAN | 95 | 9025 |
| 20 | MARINI A. TAMPUBOLON | 75 | 5625 |
| 21 | MASRO B. RAJAGUKGUK | 70 | 4900 |
| 22 | MEI E NABABAN | 62,5 | 3906,25 |
| 23 | MELKI D. LUMBANTORUAN | 82,5 | 6806,25 |
| 24 | MORADITA A. SIMANJUNTAK | 75 | 5625 |
| 25 | NONNA ESRA SIGALINGGING | 52,5 | 2756,25 |
| 26 | NOVAVIV I SIMANJUNTAK | 70 | 4900 |
| 27 | PERNANDO ANJU RAJAGUGUK | 95 | 9025 |

| | | | |
|----|---------------------------|------|---------|
| 28 | PUTRA JOSHUA SIHOMBING | 90 | 8100 |
| 29 | RIDHO H LUMBANTORUAN | 55 | 3025 |
| 30 | RINA MELATI SIGALINGGING | 70 | 4900 |
| 31 | RIRIS MANDALENA SIANIPAR | 72,5 | 5256,25 |
| 32 | ROSMAWARNI SIMANJUNTAK | 80 | 6400 |
| 33 | SRI PASKA REJEKI HUTASOIT | 72,5 | 5256,25 |
| 34 | TIAMSA P. LUMBANTORUAN | 90 | 8100 |
| 35 | TRISNAWATI J. TAMPUBOLON | 95 | 9025 |
| 36 | UMI HASANAH | 82,5 | 6806,25 |
| 37 | VERY DANIEL NABABAN | 82,5 | 6806,25 |
| 38 | YOSUA PARDEDE | 82,5 | 6806,25 |
| 39 | ALBAIK NABABAN | 52,5 | 2756,25 |
| 40 | ASWITA NABABAN | 87,5 | 7656,25 |
| 41 | DEDDI K S HUTASOIT | 65 | 4225 |
| 42 | DERMANCY HUTASOIT | 57,5 | 3306,25 |
| 43 | EKA S. SILITONGA | 65 | 4225 |
| 44 | ENI W. LUMBANTORUAN | 77,5 | 6006,25 |
| 45 | ERWIN R. LUMBANTORUAN | 80 | 6400 |
| 46 | ESKARIA M SIHOMBING | 65 | 4225 |
| 47 | FITRI MONIKA SIBURIAN | 85 | 7225 |
| 48 | HERLINA LUMBANTORUAN | 92,5 | 8556,25 |
| 49 | HORAS K PASARIBU | 85 | 7225 |
| 50 | HOTBEN SILABAN | 92,5 | 8556,25 |
| 51 | INES PASARIBU | 80 | 6400 |
| 52 | JERIKO NABABAN | 57,5 | 3306,25 |
| 53 | JESSICA Y SITORUS | 72,5 | 5256,25 |
| 54 | JHON KELVIN SIANTURI | 87,5 | 7656,25 |
| 55 | KARUNIA SIMANUNGKALIT | 90 | 8100 |
| 56 | LALO K PANJAITAN | 80 | 6400 |
| 57 | LESTARI SIREGAR | 85 | 7225 |
| 58 | LIDIA RAJAGUKGUK | 72,5 | 5256,25 |
| 59 | LISBET SIMAREMARE | 72,5 | 5256,25 |
| 60 | MANOGAR SIANTURI | 82,5 | 6806,25 |
| 61 | MARIANA SIHOMBING | 65 | 4225 |
| 62 | MARUPA SITANGGANG | 80 | 6400 |
| 63 | MASRANAI C A NABABAN | 80 | 6400 |

| | | | |
|-------|-------------------------|--------|---------|
| 64 | MEI R C LUMBANTORUAN | 65 | 4225 |
| 65 | MERYNOVA SIMANJUNTAK | 72,5 | 5256,25 |
| 66 | NANI E SIGALINGGING | 67,5 | 4556,25 |
| 67 | RADOT KRISTIAN SILALAH | 80 | 6400 |
| 68 | RAJA NIKSON HUTASOIT | 87,5 | 7656,25 |
| 69 | RAMOT SIMANJUNTAK | 85 | 7225 |
| 70 | RUPINA HUTASOIT | 67,5 | 4556,25 |
| 71 | TOBER SIMANJUNTAK | 82,5 | 6806,25 |
| 72 | YUNI YOLANDA HUTAGALUNG | 77,5 | 6006,25 |
| TOTAL | | 5482,5 | 1100250 |

$$N = 72$$

$$\sum X = 5482,5$$

$$\sum x^2 = 1100250$$

Calculation of Mean (\bar{X})

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Mean } (\bar{X}) &= \frac{\sum X}{N} \\ &= \frac{5482,5}{72} = 76,14\% \end{aligned}$$

Calculation of Standard Deviation (SD):

$$\begin{aligned} SD &= \frac{1}{N} \sqrt{(N \cdot \sum x^2) - (\sum x)^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{72} \sqrt{(72(1100250) - (5482,5)^2)} \\ &= \frac{1}{72} \sqrt{49160193,75} \\ &= \frac{1}{72} \times 7011,43 = 9,73 \end{aligned}$$

| Level Ability | The Criteria |
|---------------|---|
| | X + D |
| 'High | 76,14 + 9,73 > 85,8 |
| | X - SD.....X + D |
| Medium | 76,14 - 9,73..... 76,14+ 9,73 69,41.... 85,8 |
| | X - SD |
| Low | 76,41 - 9,73 < 69,41 |

Classification of the Level Ability of the Students

| Level Ability | The Criteria | The Number of Students | % |
|---------------|---------------------|------------------------|--------|
| Highest | More than 85,8 | 13 | 18,05% |
| Medium | Between 69,41- 85,5 | 41 | 56,94% |
| Low | Less than 69,41 | 18 | 25% |

From the table above, the ability of the students is in medium level, which students get score more than 85,8 are only 13 students and between 69,41 up to 85,5 are 41 students and the students in lower ability or get score less than 69,41 are 18 students.

CONCLUSION

After collecting the data, the writer analyzed it and found the calculations. The writer can conclude that:

The students' ability in comprehending report text is in Medium Level where the percentage is 56,94 % or 41 students. From the table of classification of the ability, the students in high ability which they get score more than 85,8 are 13 students or 18,05%, the students in medium level which they get score 69,41 up to 85,8 are 41 students or 56,94%, in low ability which they get score less than 69,41 are 18 students or 25%. The average right score of the students in comprehending report text is 30,4 or 76,2% from 40 items. And the average mistake of the students is 9,51 or 23,7% from 40 items.

REFERENCES

- Abbott, G. (1981). *The teaching of English as an international language*. London: William Collins Sons and Co. Ltd.
- Arikunto, S. (1999). *Prosedur penelitian: Suatu pendekatan praktik (Edisi revisi VI)*. Jakarta: Rineka Cipta.
- Anthony, E., Campbell, R. N., & Allen, H. A. (1972). *Approach, method, and technique in English language teaching*. Dalam H. A. Russell & N. Campbell (Eds.), *Teaching English as a second language* (hlm. xx-xx). New York: McGraw-Hill Inc.
- Brown, H. D. (n.d.). *Teaching by principles: An interactive approach to language pedagogy*. New York: Addison Wesley Longman.
- Clark, H. H., & Clark, E. V. (1977). *Psychology of language*. New York: Stanford University Press.
- Hatch, E., & Farhady, H. (1982). *Research design and statistics*. Rowley: Newbury House.

- Harris, A., & Sipay, E. R. (1980). How to increase reading ability (7th ed.). New York: Longman.
- Harmer, J. (1991). How to teach writing. Malaysia: Pearson Education.
- Johnson, E. (2002). Contextual teaching and learning: What it is and why it's here to stay. California: Corwin Press.
- Lado, R. (1964). Language teaching as a scientific approach. Georgetown: Georgetown University.