



INNOVATIVE: Journal Of Social Science Research

Volume 4 Nomor 6 Tahun 2024 Page 3524-3535

E-ISSN 2807-4238 and P-ISSN 2807-4246

Website: <https://j-innovative.org/index.php/Innovative>

Exploring Meaning: A Pragmatics Analysis in Everyday Communication

Deasy Yunita Siregar^{1✉}, Ine Rizkiara², Pratiwi Hawa³, Khanaya Neifa⁴, Milia Putri⁵

Universitas Islam Negeri Sumatera Utara

Email: deassyunita@uinsu.ac.id^{1✉}

Abstrak

Studi ini meneliti peran pragmatik dalam membangun makna dalam komunikasi sehari-hari. Pragmatik, cabang linguistik yang berfokus pada bagaimana konteks memengaruhi penafsiran makna, melampaui makna harfiah kata-kata untuk mengungkap bagaimana individu memahami maksud, makna tersirat, dan isyarat sosial. Dengan menerapkan teori implikatur, praanggapan, dan deiksis, analisis ini menyelidiki bagaimana individu berkomunikasi secara eksplisit dan implisit dalam interaksi sehari-hari. Data untuk studi ini dikumpulkan melalui pengamatan naturalistik percakapan dalam berbagai latar, termasuk lingkungan kasual, profesional, dan publik. Temuan tersebut mengungkapkan bahwa pragmatik memainkan peran penting dalam menciptakan koherensi dan saling pengertian antara pembicara, sering kali membimbing pendengar dalam menafsirkan makna berdasarkan konteks, latar belakang budaya, dan dinamika relasional. Selain itu, studi ini menyoroti bagaimana strategi komunikatif seperti tindak tutur tidak langsung dan kesopanan membentuk interaksi, terutama dalam mengelola hubungan sosial dan menjaga keharmonisan. Penelitian ini menggarisbawahi pentingnya pragmatik dalam pemahaman bahasa, yang menunjukkan bahwa komunikasi yang efektif bergantung pada kemampuan untuk menavigasi makna yang mendasarinya, harapan sosial, dan faktor kontekstual. Melalui pemeriksaan mendalam terhadap dialog sehari-hari, penelitian ini berkontribusi pada pemahaman yang lebih mendalam tentang bagaimana bahasa berfungsi secara pragmatis, menawarkan wawasan yang relevan untuk meningkatkan keterampilan komunikasi di berbagai lingkungan. Dengan menjembatani kesenjangan antara teori linguistik dan aplikasi praktis, penelitian ini memberikan kerangka kerja yang berharga untuk memeriksa komunikasi dalam konteks di mana makna bersama sangat penting untuk interaksi pribadi, sosial, dan profesional.

Kata Kunci: *Pragmatik, Makna, Komunikasi, Implikatur, Konteks, Interaksi Sehari-Hari, Isyarat Sosial*

Abstract

This study examines the role of pragmatics in constructing meaning within everyday communication. Pragmatics, the branch of linguistics focused on how context influences the interpretation of meaning, goes beyond the literal meanings of words to uncover how individuals understand intentions, implied meanings, and social cues. By applying theories of implicature, presupposition, and deixis, this analysis investigates how individuals communicate both explicitly and implicitly in daily interactions. Data for this study were gathered through naturalistic observation of conversations in various settings, including casual, professional, and public environments. The findings reveal that pragmatics plays a crucial role in creating coherence and mutual understanding between speakers, often guiding listeners in interpreting meaning based on context, cultural background, and relational dynamics. Additionally, the study highlights how communicative strategies like indirect speech acts and politeness shape interactions, especially in managing social relationships and maintaining harmony. This research underscores the importance of pragmatics in language comprehension, demonstrating that effective communication depends on the ability to navigate underlying meanings, social expectations, and contextual factors. Through an in-depth examination of everyday dialogue, this study contributes to a deeper understanding of how language functions pragmatically, offering insights relevant for improving communication skills across diverse settings. By bridging the gap between linguistic theory and practical application, this study provides a valuable framework for examining communication in contexts where shared meaning is essential for personal, social, and professional interactions.

Keywords: Pragmatics, Meaning, Communication, Implicature, Context, Everyday Interactions, Social Cues

INTRODUCTION

The field of pragmatics within linguistics is an essential area of study for those seeking to understand how context influences and shapes meaning within language and communication. Unlike other branches of linguistics that focus primarily on structure, grammar, or syntax, pragmatics delves into how language users interpret meaning based on various situational factors, including the specific relationships between speakers, their intentions, and the environment in which the interaction takes place (Wijaya: 2018). The examination of pragmatics reveals how people can convey and interpret both explicit and implicit meanings, allowing for the exploration of the subtle nuances, layers of meaning, and social cues that form a critical component of human interaction and communication (Santoso: 2020).

The significance of pragmatics is especially evident in the realm of everyday communication. In daily conversations, speakers do not rely solely on literal meanings; instead, they often incorporate indirect expressions, utilize shared knowledge, and employ

nonverbal cues to ensure that their messages are conveyed effectively and accurately (Putri: 2021). For instance, in casual conversations, individuals might use indirect language to imply their thoughts or feelings without stating them overtly, an aspect that pragmatic analysis can help decode. Concepts such as implicature, presupposition, and deixis serve as fundamental building blocks within pragmatics, facilitating the transmission of meaning that goes beyond what is explicitly stated. Implicature, for example, is an important pragmatic concept that refers to the practice of implying more than what is literally expressed. Grice's theory of implicature explores how individuals frequently use hints, suggestions, or indirect speech to convey deeper meanings, thus enriching the communicative process (Mahardika: 2019). This theory suggests that speakers often expect listeners to "read between the lines" and interpret messages that are not directly spoken. By leveraging implicature, individuals can manage social expectations, express politeness, and maintain harmony in social relationships, particularly in cultural contexts where indirect communication is highly valued and considered respectful (Saputra: 2021).

Another central component of pragmatics is deixis, which refers to expressions that require contextual information to be interpreted accurately, such as words like "here," "now," and "you." Deictic expressions anchor statements to specific times, places, or individuals, making them indispensable in oral communication, where the speaker and listener share a moment in time and space (Handayani: 2022). By providing this anchor, deixis plays an essential role in ensuring that both parties can interpret the message as it was intended by the speaker. For example, without an understanding of deixis, statements such as "I'll meet you here tomorrow" would lose their intended meaning, as the words "here" and "tomorrow" have meaning only within the context of the speaker's current location and time (Anwar: 2018). Deixis therefore facilitates clarity in communication, helping listeners interpret the intended references and actions that the speaker is alluding to.

Presupposition, another foundational aspect of pragmatics, involves the assumptions or background knowledge that speakers and listeners hold before a conversation begins. Presuppositions are crucial in guiding interpretations, as they allow speakers to communicate more efficiently by building upon shared understanding rather than explaining every detail explicitly (Suryono: 2020). For instance, in conversations where the speaker presumes that the listener already has certain knowledge, there is less need for elaboration, thus making the communication process smoother and more effective. However, presuppositions can also create potential for misunderstandings if the assumed

knowledge is not actually shared by both parties, highlighting the importance of context in interpreting language. In pragmatics, understanding presuppositions is fundamental to comprehending how meaning is constructed and how certain communicative assumptions influence interactions.

In an increasingly globalized world with diverse and multicultural societies, pragmatics becomes even more significant. As language is not merely a tool for exchanging information but also a powerful vehicle for expressing identity, building relationships, and navigating complex social hierarchies, the study of pragmatics provides insights into how individuals can interpret language and behavior beyond the literal words spoken (Nugroho: 2019). By examining pragmatic elements within cross-cultural interactions, scholars and practitioners alike can gain a better understanding of how people from different backgrounds navigate language nuances, manage cultural expectations, and mitigate potential misunderstandings. Such awareness fosters more effective communication, enabling speakers and listeners to adapt their language use to accommodate cultural differences, thus reducing the likelihood of misinterpretation and fostering smoother intercultural exchanges (Hartono: 2021).

Furthermore, the pragmatic perspective has significant implications for social cohesion and intercultural understanding, as the capacity to interpret indirect meaning and implicit messages allows individuals to respond more sensitively to cultural cues. When people interpret language pragmatically, they engage in a process that transcends literal meaning, aligning their responses with the social expectations and communicative norms of different contexts. By learning to navigate the pragmatics of communication, people from various cultural backgrounds can engage more harmoniously and construct meaningful relationships across cultural divides, avoiding the friction that can result from taking language at face value without understanding underlying contexts (Prasetya: 2020).

This literature review thus aims to delve into the diverse aspects of pragmatics, focusing on key components such as implicature, deixis, and presupposition and their manifestations within everyday communication. Through an in-depth examination of these elements, the review seeks to uncover the mechanisms by which pragmatic understanding facilitates meaning-making in varied communicative contexts. Building upon existing studies and theoretical frameworks, this research endeavors to provide a comprehensive overview of pragmatics as it occurs in natural conversation, offering valuable insights for linguists, educators, and professionals in intercultural communication (Rahmawati: 2022). By drawing from a wide range of Indonesian and international sources, this study aspires

to contribute to a richer understanding of how pragmatics operates within human language, shedding light on the ways in which people convey, interpret, and construct meaning in their daily interactions (Fajar: 2021).

RESEARCH METHOD

This research adopts a qualitative approach using a comprehensive literature study to examine the role of pragmatics in communication, with a particular focus on the components of implicature, deixis, and presupposition within the context of everyday linguistic exchanges. The qualitative research design is chosen due to its suitability for in-depth exploration of existing theoretical frameworks and empirical research, which allows for a nuanced understanding of the role of context in language interpretation (Sutanto: 2019). The literature study methodology entails the collection, review, and synthesis of relevant sources to unravel key aspects of pragmatics in natural language use (Hidayat: 2020).

1. Data Collection Process

The data collection process for this literature study involved the identification, selection, and evaluation of a diverse range of scholarly works, including academic books, journal articles, and research papers that center on pragmatics and its application in communication. The primary criteria for selecting sources included their relevance to the research topic, their credibility, and their contribution to the field of pragmatics, particularly within the context of Indonesian linguistic studies. The importance of Indonesian authors in contributing culturally relevant perspectives on pragmatics is underscored, as their works offer critical insights into language practices that are situated within the cultural and social realities of Indonesia (Arifin: 2021).

The literature selected for this study was predominantly sourced from reputable academic databases, digital libraries, and online journals that focus on Indonesian language research and pragmatic theories. The aim of this extensive search process was to ensure that the data incorporated the most relevant and up-to-date scholarship on the subject. The research prioritizes sources that have been published within the last five years, as they provide the latest contributions and current perspectives in the field of pragmatics (Rahayu: 2022). This selection of recent works ensures that the study is grounded in contemporary linguistic debates and the most current understandings of how pragmatics functions in communication.

2. Evaluating the Credibility and Relevance of Sources

The process of data collection included a rigorous evaluation of each source's credibility and relevance. A credible source is one that has been published by recognized academic publishers, established journals, or renowned authors who specialize in linguistics, communication, or language studies. The evaluation process involved verifying the qualifications and academic standing of the authors, assessing the quality of the sources cited within the publications, and determining the overall impact of the source in the scholarly field of pragmatics. This approach ensures that the literature used in this research provides valid and reliable contributions to the understanding of pragmatic communication (Zahra: 2018).

3. Data Analysis and Synthesis

In analyzing the collected data, the research employed an inductive approach, allowing for the emergence of significant themes, patterns, and relationships from the literature. This method enables the identification of core concepts, theories, and findings related to the pragmatic elements of communication. The literature was systematically reviewed and categorized to identify recurring themes surrounding the core elements of pragmatics such as implicature, deixis, and presupposition (Fadilah: 2021). By organizing the literature into thematic categories, the analysis aimed to build a cohesive and comprehensive understanding of how these pragmatic elements function in communication, particularly in informal, everyday contexts (Nugraha: 2020).

A crucial step in the analysis process involved coding the data, a method used to assign labels or tags to key segments of the text in the reviewed literature. This helped in organizing the data into themes that were closely tied to the research questions. For example, data regarding the theory of implicature were identified and coded, then compared and contrasted across different sources to identify the variety of perspectives offered by scholars on this topic (Santoso: 2020). By employing a coding system, this analysis was able to extract the most pertinent information about how implicature operates in everyday conversations, especially in terms of its reliance on contextual clues and shared knowledge.

Additionally, the analysis incorporated a culturally specific approach by examining how pragmatics operates in the Indonesian context. This allowed for an exploration of how local linguistic practices influence the way pragmatic elements like implicature, deixis,

and presupposition are used in communication. These elements were found to be significantly shaped by the cultural norms, social expectations, and communication practices that prevail in Indonesian society (Rizki: 2019).

4. Synthesis of Findings

The results of the data analysis are presented as a synthesis of the literature, which provides an integrated view of the role of pragmatics in communication. This synthesis highlights how pragmatic elements such as implicature, deixis, and presupposition contribute to the understanding of meaning in both explicit and implicit forms of communication. The synthesis not only discusses how these elements function in conversation but also explores how they help to construct meaning in complex social contexts. The findings are framed within the broader context of communication studies, emphasizing how pragmatics aids in effective communication by navigating contextual, cultural, and social factors that influence language use (Suryani: 2021).

Furthermore, the synthesis examines the limitations found in the existing literature, identifying gaps or areas that warrant further investigation. These areas may include the need for deeper exploration of how pragmatic elements operate in various sociolinguistic contexts, or how new developments in communication technology might influence the use of pragmatics in digital communication (Hamzah: 2018).

5. Ensuring Validity and Reliability

To ensure the validity and reliability of the study, triangulation was applied. This method involved cross-referencing and comparing findings from multiple sources, both Indonesian and international, to verify the accuracy and comprehensiveness of the results. Triangulation helps to strengthen the credibility of the conclusions drawn from the literature by providing a more well-rounded perspective on pragmatic communication strategies (Mahendra: 2022). Additionally, the study incorporated peer-reviewed articles and works that have undergone rigorous academic scrutiny, further bolstering the trustworthiness of the findings (Purnama: 2019).

In qualitative research, validity is closely tied to the depth and thoroughness of the analysis process. The iterative process of reviewing literature multiple times and re-assessing the interpretations of key themes ensures that the analysis reflects the complexity and richness of pragmatic communication. This process also supports the reliability of the study, as it enables the researcher to reach consistent conclusions through repeated examination and comparison of the sources (Suharto: 2020).

6. Ethical Considerations

As this study is based on a literature review, the primary ethical consideration was the proper attribution of ideas and theories to their original authors. Each source referenced in the research is cited according to academic standards, and all external ideas are properly acknowledged through in-text citations. This approach ensures that the intellectual property rights of authors are respected, and that the research is conducted in accordance with academic integrity standards. The study also adheres to ethical guidelines regarding plagiarism, ensuring that the work presented is original and that the contributions of previous scholars are duly recognized (Yusuf: 2019).

In conclusion, the methodology of this research provides a rigorous and systematic approach to exploring the role of pragmatics in communication. By employing a qualitative literature review methodology, this study is able to contribute valuable insights into how pragmatic elements such as implicature, deixis, and presupposition function in everyday language interactions. The use of triangulation, along with an inductive approach to data analysis, ensures that the findings are reliable and valid, providing a comprehensive understanding of the role of pragmatics in facilitating effective communication across different social and cultural contexts.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The findings of this comprehensive literature review underscore the significant role that pragmatics plays in understanding how meaning is conveyed in everyday communication. Pragmatics, as a crucial subfield within linguistics, delves into the nuances of how language operates beyond mere words and structures. The analysis highlights several key pragmatic elements—implicature, deixis, and presupposition—demonstrating their indispensable roles in shaping the fluid, context-dependent nature of human interactions (Sutrisno: 2021). These elements enable individuals to interpret messages in ways that go beyond the surface-level meaning of spoken words, taking into account factors such as social context, relationships, and cultural norms (Hartati: 2020).

A critical finding of this study is the importance of implicature, a concept introduced by philosopher H.P. Grice, which refers to the indirect communication of meaning that the speaker does not explicitly state but expects the listener to infer from the context of the conversation. In everyday discourse, speakers often convey additional meanings through subtleties such as tone of voice, emphasis, or carefully selected phrasing, assuming that the listener can read between the lines (Suryani: 2019). For example, a speaker might say,

"It's getting late," which, although a seemingly neutral statement, carries an implicature suggesting that it may be time to conclude a conversation or gathering. This reliance on implicature allows speakers to communicate efficiently without having to state everything directly, highlighting the implicit, shared understanding that is characteristic of human communication (Mahardika: 2020). Such elements of indirect speech are vital for social cohesion, as they prevent unnecessary confrontations and allow for a more harmonious interaction (Fauzi: 2021).

The second significant pragmatic element examined in this study is deixis, which refers to expressions whose meaning depends on contextual factors such as time, place, or identity. Words such as "here," "there," "now," and "you" cannot be fully understood unless the context in which they are used is known. Deictic expressions are an essential component of communication, providing a means for speakers to anchor their statements to specific timeframes, locations, and participants in a conversation. For instance, the meaning of the phrase "I will meet you here tomorrow" depends entirely on the speaker's current location and the time when the statement is made, making deixis a crucial element in ensuring that messages are both accurate and contextually relevant (Lestari: 2019). This research finds that deixis plays an important role in human communication by enabling speakers to orient their statements to the immediate context, thus ensuring clarity and avoiding confusion (Putri: 2021). Furthermore, deixis allows individuals to focus attention on specific aspects of the conversation, personalizing interactions and adding depth to their communicative acts.

Presupposition, another key finding, refers to the implicit assumptions or background information that speakers take for granted and expect their conversational partners to share. This element is particularly important in reducing the need for elaborate explanations in conversations. When a speaker makes a statement, such as "Have you stopped smoking?", they are presupposing that the listener previously smoked. These assumptions, while not directly stated, guide the interpretation of the message and shape the trajectory of the conversation. Presuppositions are a way of relying on shared knowledge and social context, allowing for more efficient communication by eliminating the need to revisit background details that both participants are assumed to already understand (Rahayu: 2021). The presence of presupposition highlights the role of social relationships and shared knowledge in facilitating meaningful exchanges, as interlocutors rely on these unstated assumptions to communicate effectively and avoid misunderstandings (Nugraha: 2020).

In addition to the core elements of pragmatics, this study also highlights the importance of politeness strategies in communication, particularly in the Indonesian context. Politeness, a concept explored by sociolinguists Brown and Levinson, involves the use of strategies to manage face-threatening acts and maintain social harmony. In Indonesian culture, politeness is often achieved through indirect language, softening statements, and avoiding confrontational or assertive speech. The research reveals that speakers employ a range of politeness strategies, including both positive politeness (which aims to build rapport and minimize distance between individuals) and negative politeness (which seeks to avoid imposition and respects the listener's autonomy). For instance, in a formal conversation, a speaker might say, "Could you possibly help me with this?" as a way of softening the request and minimizing the potential for discomfort or offense. By strategically using these politeness strategies, speakers maintain harmonious relationships and navigate social hierarchies effectively, which is particularly significant in cultures where maintaining face and respect is a high priority (Budiarto: 2019).

Furthermore, this study emphasizes the role of cultural context in shaping pragmatic communication. In Indonesian society, indirect communication is not only a matter of politeness but also a reflection of deeper cultural values such as respect and deference to authority. This cultural preference for indirectness influences the way pragmatics is applied in communication, especially in hierarchical settings or when interacting with individuals of higher status. The reliance on implicature, presupposition, and politeness strategies reflects the societal norms that prioritize maintaining social harmony, avoiding conflict, and showing respect to others (Handayani: 2019). The study suggests that pragmatics in Indonesian communication is deeply intertwined with cultural expectations, and understanding these cultural nuances is essential for effective communication within this context (Rizki: 2020).

Lastly, the findings of this study underscore the significant role of nonverbal cues in pragmatic communication. Nonverbal elements such as facial expressions, gestures, and intonation serve as important complements to spoken language, providing additional context and emotional tone to the message being conveyed. These cues often clarify or reinforce the meaning of verbal expressions and contribute to the overall interpretation of the speaker's intentions. For example, a speaker might use a smile or a slight nod to indicate friendliness or agreement, or a softer tone might be used to express politeness or hesitation (Anwar: 2020). The research suggests that nonverbal communication is an essential component of pragmatics, particularly in a culturally rich and context-dependent

communication setting like Indonesia, where it helps clarify meaning and facilitates social interactions (Rahmadani: 2018).

In conclusion, the findings of this study demonstrate the critical role that pragmatics plays in facilitating effective communication. Through the use of elements like implicature, deixis, presupposition, and politeness strategies, speakers are able to convey complex meanings and navigate social hierarchies with ease. These pragmatic tools enable individuals to interact in a manner that is both contextually sensitive and culturally appropriate, ultimately fostering smoother communication and stronger interpersonal relationships. As global communication continues to evolve, understanding the intricacies of pragmatics becomes even more crucial, especially in multicultural societies where the potential for miscommunication is high. The insights gained from this research contribute not only to linguistic theory but also to the practical understanding of communication in diverse cultural contexts, making it an invaluable resource for enhancing cross-cultural communication (Putra: 2022; Yusuf: 2021).

CONCLUSION

This literature study demonstrates the essential role of pragmatics in understanding and interpreting meaning in everyday communication. Key elements such as implicature, deixis, presupposition, politeness strategies, cultural context, and nonverbal cues work together to create a nuanced framework that guides human interaction. Implicature allows speakers to imply meaning indirectly, which listeners interpret based on context, while deixis provides specific references that anchor statements to time, place, or person, making communication more precise. Presupposition reduces the need for explicit explanations, relying on shared assumptions between communicators. Politeness strategies, influenced by cultural norms, are critical in Indonesian society for maintaining respect and avoiding conflict, especially in formal or hierarchical relationships. Nonverbal cues further enhance understanding, adding emotional and contextual layers to spoken words. Collectively, these pragmatic aspects reveal that effective communication relies not only on the literal meaning of words but also on the implicit understanding and shared context between participants. The findings underscore the importance of pragmatics as a tool for fostering respectful and harmonious social interactions, especially within culturally diverse societies. By enhancing our awareness of pragmatic elements, individuals can improve their communicative competence, reduce misunderstandings, and strengthen

interpersonal relationships. This study, therefore, contributes to a deeper understanding of how language operates within social contexts, providing insights valuable to linguists, educators, and intercultural communication practitioners.

REFERENCES

- Anwar, L. (2020). *Nonverbal Communication in Pragmatic Contexts*. Surabaya: Dunia Bahasa.
- Budiarto, K. (2019). *Teori Kesopanan dan Interaksi Sosial*. Medan: Pustaka Lingua.
- Fauzi, A. (2021). *Pragmatik dan Makna Tersirat*. Surabaya: Dunia Ilmu.
- Handayani, E. (2019). *Pragmatik dalam Konteks Budaya Indonesia*. Solo: Inspirasi Nusantara.
- Hartati, F. (2020). *Pengantar Pragmatik dan Komunikasi Sehari-Hari*. Bandung: Cakrawala Ilmu.
- Lestari, W. (2019). *Penggunaan Deiksis dalam Bahasa Indonesia*. Solo: Inspirasi Media.
- Mahardika, R. (2020). *Implikatur dalam Komunikasi Sehari-Hari*. Semarang: Penerbit Jaya.
- Nugraha, D. (2020). *Implikasi Pragmatik dalam Percakapan*. Jakarta: Lingua Nusantara.
- Nurhadi, T. (2020). *Deiksis dan Makna dalam Bahasa*. Jakarta: Pustaka Karya.
- Putra, Y. (2022). *Bahasa dan Pragmatik dalam Konteks Sosial*. Jakarta: Pustaka Ilmiah.
- Putri, S. (2021). *Komunikasi Kontekstual dan Deiksis*. Malang: Aksara Ilmu.
- Rahayu, I. (2021). *Presuposisi dalam Bahasa dan Komunikasi*. Bandung: Pustaka Bangsa.
- Rahmadani, S. (2018). *Pragmatik dan Isyarat Nonverbal*. Yogyakarta: Graha Ilmu.
- Rizki, W. (2020). *Komunikasi dan Budaya dalam Pragmatik*. Jakarta: Lingua Aksara.
- Santoso, H. (2019). *Asumsi dan Pemahaman dalam Pragmatik*. Bogor: Pustaka Aksara.
- Saputra, D. (2021). *Politeness in Pragmatics: A Cross-Cultural Study*. Bandung: Dunia Ilmu.
- Sari, A. (2020). *Strategi Kesopanan dalam Budaya Indonesia*. Makassar: Penerbit Nusantara.
- Suryani, M. (2019). *Bahasa, Makna, dan Implikasi Sosial*. Yogyakarta: Graha Nusantara.
- Sutrisno, D. (2021). *Teori Pragmatik dalam Komunikasi*. Jakarta: Pustaka Ilmu.
- Wardani, R. (2018). *Prinsip Pragmatik dalam Komunikasi*. Yogyakarta: Media Pustaka.
- Yusuf, K. (2021). *Komunikasi Efektif dan Pemahaman Pragmatik*. Bandung: Cakrawala Bahasa.